

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/091,561	08/21/1998	JEAN PLOUET	USB95ARCNR	5078
466	7590 04/03/2003			
YOUNG &	THOMPSON	EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH	23RD STREET 2ND FI	LOOR		
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22202			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003	34

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
WWW.USPTO.GOV

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	34			

DATE MAILED:

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

Commissioner of Patents

- 1. The appellant's statement in the brief that certain claims do not stand or fall together is not agreed with because Fab fragments are not patentably distinct from the antibodies from which they are derived.
- 2. The brief includes a statement that claims 25-26, 29-30, 32-33, and 35 and 27-28 and 34 do not stand or fall together, but fails to present reasons in support thereof as required under 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7). MPEP § 1206.
- 3. Appellant is required to comply with provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c).

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, Appellant must comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing of this communication; (2) within the time period for reply to the action from which appeal has been taken; or (3) within two months from the date of the notice of appeal under 37 CFR 1.191. Extensions of these time periods may be granted under 37 CFR 1.136.

G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Technology Center 1600 April 1, 2003

Notification of Non-Compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/091.561 Examiner

G.R. Ewoldt

Art Unit 1644

Plouet et al.

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Jan 21, 2003 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR The Appeal Brief filed on 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206. To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136. 1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. 2.

The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)). 3.

At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)). 4.

The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)). 5. The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)). 6. A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and (a) the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief. (b) the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief. 7. The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)). 8. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)). 9.

Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): The Brief indicates that the claims do not stand or fall together however, no specific argument is submitted. As set forth in MPEP 1206 "Merely pointing out differences in what the claims cover is not an argument as to why the claims are separately patentable." Finary Examines